

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | . F | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/700,478 11/05/2003 | | 11/05/2003 | Han-Rae Cho | 1293.1985 | 7744 |
| 21171 | 7590 | 04/05/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| STAAS & SUITE 700 | | Y LLP | DUONG, HUNG V | | |
| | | VENUE, N.W. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | | • | 2835 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | ^ |
|---|-----|
| 1 | / \ |
| W | À |
| v | _ |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Asticus Occurrence | 10/700,478 | CHO, HAN-RAE | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Hung v. Duong | 2835 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ice except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the original transfer of the second or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | . HUNG VAN DUONG | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/5/2003. | Paper No(s)/Mail Dat | PTO-413)PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/700,478

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8, and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 8 should be depend on claim 6, and claim 10 should be depend on claim 9 according to telephone conversation with the Artorney Paul Bobowiec on 3/31/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamura et al (US Pat. 6,567,265).

Page 3

Regarding claims 1-2, 4-8 Yamamura et al disclose in figure 1, a damping apparatus 4, comprising: a first member joinable to a first object 5; a second member joinable to a second object 4; and a vibration absorbing member 1 installed between the first and second members, wherein at least one of the first and second members has elastic hooks 17 that are elastically catchable by respective edge portions of the corresponding object for joining the member to the corresponding object wherein the vibration absorbing member is a rubber member (column 6, lines 31-32) wherein one of the first and second objects is a hard disk drive 5 and the other of the objects is a base plate 4 wherein the first and second members are joined to the upper and lower surfaces, respectively of the vibration absorbing member 1 by attaching with an adhesive or insert molding.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamura et al (US Pat. 6,567,265) in view of Shinoda et al (US Pat. 4,873,149).

5. Regarding claims 3, 9-10, Yamamura et al disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention except for one of the first and second members is made of a stainless

Application/Control Number: 10/700,478

Art Unit: 2835

steel plate plated with nickel substantially preventing effects of electromagnetic interference. However Shinoda et al disclose the first and second members is made of a stainless steel plate plated with nickel (see Shinoda et al's column 4, lines 23-40). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize the first and second members is made of a stainless steel plate plated with nickel of Shinoda et al into Yamamura et al's plate in order to prevent effects of electromagnetic and vibration-damping for hard disk drive.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sri-Jayantha et al (US 2004/0070865) teach mounting system for disk drive.

Nakata et al (US Pat. 6,809,916) teach shock-absorbing member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung v Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVD

3/30/05

Hung Duong

Primary Examiner.